

EXHIBIT B

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF UTAH, NORTHERN DIVISION**

**JOHN BEAN TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION
Plaintiff,**

v.

**B GSE GROUP, LLC and BRYAN BULLERDICK
Defendants.**

CIVIL ACTION NO: 1:17-CV-142-RJS-DAO

SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERT REBUTTAL REPORT OF NICHOLAS R. HARRIS

SEPTEMBER 6, 2021

**CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY
SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER**



Nicholas R. Harris, CPA/ABV, CFA, CFE
Director, Forensic and Valuation Services
GreerWalker LLP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	SUMMARY OF MR. DUSKI’S SUPPLEMENTAL OPINIONS.....	4
III.	SUPPLEMENTAL OPINIONS.....	5
A.	Mr. Duski failed to provide a connection between JBT’s Claims and alleged damages for six of the seventeen Projects.	6
B.	Mr. Duski continues to rely on preliminary estimates in his opinion of BGSE’s alleged unjust enrichment.....	7
C.	Mr. Duski’s analysis excludes direct project-related costs that, if considered, would significantly reduce his opinion of BGSE’s alleged unjust enrichment.....	8
1.	<i>Increased Material and Labor Costs</i>	8
2.	<i>BGSE’s Direct Labor</i>	9
3.	<i>Commissions</i>	10
4.	<i>Travel Expenses</i>	10
5.	<i>Other Direct Costs</i>	10
D.	I disagree with Mr. Duski’s inclusion of BGSE’s entire PC Air and GPU scope of work in BGSE’s alleged unjust enrichment; however, if the trier of fact finds that appropriate, a reasonable estimate of BGSE’s actual incremental PC Air and GPU profit on the Projects is \$1,097,202.....	10
IV.	PURPOSE AND USE.....	12

I. Introduction

I issued an Expert Rebuttal Report in the above-captioned matter on February 14, 2019 (my “Initial Rebuttal”). It details my analysis and critique of JBT’s alleged lost profit damages and BGSE’s alleged unjust enrichment per the Expert Report of the David R. Duski dated January 10, 2019, (the “2019 Duski Report” or Mr. Duski’s “2019 Report”).

Since the exchange of those reports, on August 13, 2020, the Court filed its Memorandum Decision and Order on the parties’ cross-motions for summary judgment on JBT’s affirmative claims and JBT’s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Defendants’ Counterclaims (the “Summary Judgment Order”).¹ Per the Summary Judgment Order, the Court granted in part and denied in part the parties’ motions for summary judgment on JBT’s affirmative claims as shown in Table 1 below:

Table 1
Order on Motions for Summary Judgment on JBT’s Affirmative Claims²

Count	JBT Cause of Action	Summary Judgment Opinion
1	Federal Misappropriation of Trade Secrets	Granted for JBT
2	State Misappropriation of Trade Secrets	Granted for JBT
3	Federal Unfair Competition and False Designation of Origin (Against BGSE)	Granted for JBT
4	Federal Unfair Competition and False Advertising (Against BGSE)	Granted for Defendants
5	Federal Trademark Infringement (Against BGSE)	Denied for all parties
6	Breach of Contract – The 2011 Confidentiality Agreement (Against Mr. Bullerdick)	Granted for JBT
7	Breach of Contract – The 2011 NDA (Against BGSE)	Granted for JBT
8	Breach of Contract – The 2012 Distributorship Agreement (Against BGSE)	Granted for JBT
9	Defamation	Granted for Defendants
10	Tortious Interference	Denied for all parties

The Court granted in part and denied in part JBT’s Motion for Summary Judgment on Defendants’ counterclaims as shown in Table 2 below. The Court denied the parties’ motions in all other respects.³

¹ Summary Judgment Order, p. 2.

² Summary Judgment Order, p. 78.

³ Summary Judgment, p. 78.

Table 2
Rulings on JBT's Motion for Summary Judgment on Defendants' Counterclaims ⁴

		Summary
Count	Defendants Cause of Action	Judgment Opinion
1	Declaratory Judgment	Denied for JBT
2	Tortious Interference	Granted for JBT
3	Negligent Misrepresentation	Granted for JBT
4	Defamation	Granted for JBT
5	Unfair or Deceptive Trade Practices	Denied for JBT

Since the exchange of expert reports in 2019, BGSE supplemented its discovery production with actual revenue and cost documentation related to BGSE's work on the Projects. JBT requested, and I understand that BGSE produced, the items shown in Table 1 below.

Table 3
JBT's Requests for Production Since the 2019 Expert Reports⁵

Request Number	Request for Production as Modified by December 24, 2020 Letter to BGSE's Counsel
40	... documents and communications referring to or otherwise relating to the bidding on, award of, or supply of conditioned air and power units by BGSE, including related submittals, to the Eielson Projects.
41	... any and all purchase orders issued to or by BGSE relating to air and power units supplied by BGSE to the Projects, and any documents referring to such purchase orders.
42	... any and all invoices issued to or by BGSE relating to air and power units supplied by BGSE to the Projects, and any documents referring to such invoices.
43	... payments from any contractor to BGSE relating to air and power units supplied to the Projects.
44	BGSE's final profit & loss statements relating to the Projects , and any and all documents referring to or otherwise relating to BGSE's final profit & loss statements relating to the Projects.
45	Any and all documents referring to or otherwise relating to fees paid to BGSE relating to air and power units supplied to the Projects.
46	Any and all documents referring to or otherwise relating to sales revenue earned by BGSE relating to air and power units supplied to the Projects.
47	Any and all documents referring to or otherwise relating to lost revenue, manufacturing costs, engineering costs, or project managements costs incurred by BGSE relating to air and power units supplied to the Projects.
48	Any snapshots or summaries of BGSE's profit and loss relating to the Projects, and any and all documents referring to or otherwise relating to snapshots or summaries of BGSE's profit and loss relating to the Projects.
49	Any and all documents referring to or otherwise relating to any other form of payment or reimbursement made to BGSE relating to the Projects.

⁴ Summary Judgment Order, p. 79.

⁵ Plaintiff's Third Set of Requests to Produce to Defendant B GSE Group, LLC. Letter dated December 24, 2020 from Steven Zeller of Dykema Gossett PLLC to Josh Durham and Ward Davis of Bell of Davis & Pitt PA.

On August 3, 2021, JBT's expert witness on damages, David R. Duski, issued a supplemental expert report (the "Duski Supplement" or Mr. Duski's "Supplemental Report" and together with the 2019 Duski Report, the "Duski Report") to address certain supplemental information that became available since the date of his 2019 report.⁶ That supplemental information apparently refers to the items produced by BGSE listed above.

Counsel for Bryan Bullerdick and BGSE requested that I offer my opinions on Mr. Duski's Supplemental Report and analysis. That is the scope of this report (my "Supplemental Rebuttal" and together with my Initial Rebuttal, my "Rebuttal Report").

My Initial Rebuttal includes background information on this matter, my qualifications, and additional information. For brevity, that information is not restated in this report, and this report should be viewed as a supplement to my Initial Rebuttal. Additional background and information upon which I relied can be found in the pleadings and other documents produced in this matter.

As with my Rebuttal Report, opinions contained in this report are based on currently available information. As new or additional information becomes available, I may update or amend my calculations and opinions.

The latest copy of my CV is attached as **Appendix A**. Currently, GreerWalker LLP is being compensated for my time on this matter at \$430 per hour.


The documents that I received and relied upon in forming my opinions are listed in **Appendix B** and in the footnotes to this Supplemental Rebuttal and my Initial Rebuttal. In addition, I had conference calls with Bryan Bullerdick and Kim Contino, BGSE's CFO. I assisted BGSE with the production of accounting documents. In connection with that effort, I was given practitioner access to BGSE's QuickBooks Online accounting system.


⁶ Duski Supplement, p. 1.

II. Summary of Mr. Duski's Supplemental Opinions

Figure 1 below summarizes Mr. Duski's supplemental opinion of JBT's lost profits and BGSE's unjust enrichment. Mr. Duski's opinions are based on the claims granted for JBT per the Summary Judgment Order and assuming JBT prevails on some or all of its pending claims in this matter (collectively, JBT's "Claims").

Figure 1
Mr. Duski's Supplemental Summary of JBT Alleged Damages⁷

Project	JBT Lost Profits	BGSE Unjust Enrichment	Total Damages
Lemoore P-328	\$		
Beaufort P-465			
Kadena P-803			
Lemoore P-378			
Beaufort P-464			
Eielson EIE-376			
Burlington B-150			
Eielson EIE-378			
Eielson EIE-379			
Eielson EIE-393			
Eielson EIE-394			
Lemoore P-284			
Burlington B-130			
Burlington B-131			
Burlington B-132			
Burlington B-160			
Burlington B-360			
Total	\$ 201,777	\$ 2,651,502	\$ 2,853,280

Whereas Mr. Duski's 2019 Report included eight Projects, Mr. Duski's Supplemental Report includes the seventeen Projects listed above. Mr. Duski's opinion of JBT's Lost Profits decreased from \$ ⁸ The decrease relates to the inclusion of site startup testing, commissioning, and training costs that Mr. Duski erroneously excluded in his 2019 Report. Those costs include airfare, hotel, meals, car rental, and shipping.

Mr. Duski's opinions do not appear to specifically address JBT's claims for trademark infringement and tortious interference. He does not apportion his opinion of alleged damages to those claims.

⁷ Duski Supplement, p. 11.

⁸ 2019 Duski Report, p. 23. Duski Supplement, p. 11.

III. Supplemental Opinions

I have revised my opinions on JBT's alleged lost profits and BGSE's alleged unjust enrichment as shown in **Attachment 1** through **Attachment 3** in response to Mr. Duski's Supplemental Report. These attachments replace the corresponding attachments in my Initial Rebuttal. Table 4 below summarizes my opinion on how Mr. Duski's opinion of BGSE's alleged unjust enrichment should be adjusted.

Table 4
Mr. Duski's Opinion of JBT's Alleged Damages Adjusted⁹

Project / Description	Unjust Enrichment Per Mr. Duski
Lemoore P-328	\$
Beaufort P-465	
Kadena P-803	
Lemoore P-378	
Beaufort P-464	
Eielson EIE-376	
Burlington B-795	
Eielson EIE-378	
Eielson EIE-379	
Eielson EIE-393	
Eielson EIE-394	
Total Alleged Unjust Enrichment	\$
Profit BGSE Would Have Earned	
Adjusted Unjust Enrichment	\$

This table above includes eleven of the seventeen Projects that Mr. Duski included in his Supplemental Report. The reason for that is discussed in the first subsection below.

The table above assumes that BGSE would not have been awarded the PC Air and GPU scope of work on each Project but for JBT's Claims. I understand that additional findings of fact and liability remain for the trier of fact. These findings may preclude or limit the amounts shown above, on a project-by-project basis. I may update my opinions based on such findings, or evidence that is presented at trial.

The table above does not account for additional incremental costs associated with BGSE's use of Twist and Northern Air as suppliers of PC Air units versus JBT. Such costs would reduce

⁹ Duski Supplement, p. 11. Table 6. The amount shown for Eielson EIE-378 includes \$130,312 of estimated PC Air profit. However, I understand that BGSE never installed PC Air on that project. Thus, no estimated or actual profit was earned, and the amount should be excluded from Mr. Duski's analysis.

the Adjusted Unjust Enrichment amount shown above. I may update this table to account for those costs prior to trial.

Table 4 above, and the related analysis, is based on estimated JBT PC Air pricing per JBT's blanket quote to BGSE for certain Projects.¹⁰ Otherwise, I am unaware of what JBT's pricing to BGSE would have been.

The calculation of "Profit BGSE Would Have Earned" as shown in Table 4 above is shown in Table 5 below.

Table 5
Profit BGSE Would Have Earned Included in Mr. Duski's Unjust Enrichment Opinion

Count	Project	A	B	C = A - B	D	E = D - C
		Would-Have- Been BGSE PC Air Cost Using JBT as Supplier	Actual PC Air Cost	Estimated BGSE Incremental Profit / Savings	Alleged BGSE Unjust Enrichment Per Duski Report	Estimated BGSE Profit Unrelated to Alleged Wrongful Acts
1	Lemoore P-328 (Phase 1)	\$				
2	Beaufort P-465					
3	Kadena P-803					
4	Lemoore P-378 (Phase 2/3)					
5	Beaufort P-464					
6	Eielson EIE-376					
7	Burlington B-795 (B-150)					
8	Eielson EIE-378					
9	Eielson EIE-379					
10	Eielson EIE-393					
11	Eielson EIE-394					
		\$				

Aside from updating the analysis contained in my Initial Rebuttal, additional analysis in response to Mr. Duski's Supplemental Report is shown in **Attachment 4** and **Attachment 5**. The following subsections provide additional discussion on my supplemental opinions.

A. Mr. Duski failed to provide a connection between JBT's Claims and alleged damages for six of the seventeen Projects.

For the last six Projects listed in Figure 1 above, Mr. Duski provided little or no explanation for how JBT's Claims are connected to the alleged unjust enrichment that he calculated. For Lemoore P-284 he merely states:

In February 2020, BGSE received a purchase order from Nicholas Construction Services, Inc. for GPU equipment and services related to the F-35 P-284 maintenance hangar at NAS Lemoore. BGSE also produced a profit and loss statement related to this project. If it is determined that BGSE was awarded

¹⁰ JBT00133067. Attachment 1.

this project due to (1) BGSE having previously engaged in unlawful acts leading to project awards at NAS Lemoore and/or (2) the result of unfair competition, BGSE's total unjust enrichment equals \$276,750.¹¹

For the remaining Projects, which are all Burlington projects, Mr. Duski states:

As discussed in connection with the Burlington B-150 project in my 2019 Report, BGSE had several email communications with Benaka, Inc., ("Benaka") including sending to Benaka documents that JBT contends contain false statements about BGSE's involvement with equipment it supplied on past projects. I understand Benaka did not win the B-150 project, but also bid on the combined project for buildings 130, 131, 132, 160, and 360. Benaka provided a letter of intent to BGSE, indicating that it would procure PC Air and GPUs from BGSE should Benaka win the contract. Benaka did win the contracts for the other buildings and provided a subcontract agreement to BGSE for PC Air and GPU equipment. If it is determined that BGSE was awarded this project due to (1) BGSE having previously engaged in unlawful acts leading to a project award at the Vermont Air National Guard in South Burlington, Vermont and/or (2) the result of unfair competition, BGSE's total unjust enrichment equals \$373,530.¹²

Based on the lack of support and information connecting JBT's Claims to BGSE's alleged unjust enrichment, I did not address these six projects in this supplemental report. However, I may do so if additional information is provided, or as necessary at trial.

B. Mr. Duski continues to rely on preliminary estimates in his opinion of BGSE's alleged unjust enrichment.

In his 2019 Report, Mr. Duski relied upon Project Estimates that do not reflect BGSE's actual cost and revenue. As shown in Table 6 below, despite the volume of documents produced since his 2019 Report, Mr. Duski continues to rely on Project Estimates for his calculation of BGSE's alleged unjust enrichment for several of the Projects.

¹¹ Duski Supplement, p. 9.

¹² Duski Supplement, pp. 9-10.

Table 6
Updated Summary of Documents Relied Upon by
Mr. Duski in Calculating BGSE's Alleged Unjust Enrichment

Project	Document Relied Upon by Mr. Duski	Document Type
Lemoore P-328	BGSE_00340901	BGSE Project Estimate
Beaufort P-465	BGSE_00340909	BGSE Project Estimate
Kadena P-803	BGSE_00276151 BGSE_00591910-BGSE_00591911 BGSE_00608225-BGSE_00608229	BGSE Project Estimate BGSE Invoice No. 2272 Email Chain dated 12/2020-01/2021
Lemoore P-378	BGSE_00340927	BGSE Project Estimate
Beaufort P-464	BGSE_00603574	BGSE Project Estimate
Eielson EIE-376	BGSE_00603576 BGSE_00590187-BGSE_00590188 BGSE_00585388 BGSE_00606862 BGSE_00602348	BGSE Project Estimate BGSE Purchase Order No. 272759 Piller Invoice No. 121760 BGSE Invoice No. 2467 BGSE Purchase Order No. 272718
Burlington B-795	BGSE_00340913	BGSE Project Estimate
Eielson EIE-378	BGSE_00464327 BGSE_00602596	BGSE Project Estimate BGSE Purchase Order No. 21969
Eielson EIE-379	BGSE_00580658-BGSE00580666 at BGSE00580660-BGSE00580662 BGSE_00607724-BGSE_00607725	BGSE Quote No. 122217 BGSE Invoice No. 2468
Eielson EIE-393	BGSE_00602726-BGSE_00602773 at BGSE_00602734	BGSE Project Estimate
Eielson EIE-394	BGSE_00605307 BGSE_00602436-BGSE_00602437	Tesco Purchase Order No. 2346-CJB948737S to BGSE BGSE Purchase Order No. 272584

I understand that BGSE has produced to JBT the invoices that BGSE received from Piller, Northern Air, and Twist for GPU and PC Air on the Projects. Yet, Mr. Duski has not updated his analysis for these actual costs. Furthermore, the form of Mr. Duski's analysis is not conducive to updating for actual costs. That is because BGSE's invoices and cost records often include BGSE's scope of work beyond just PC Air and GPU, i.e., they also include BGSE's installation of service pits. Thus, it is often difficult to directly match BGSE's GPU and PC Air costs with the associated revenue, if any. Nonetheless, in my opinion, Mr. Duski's analysis unreasonably excludes actual costs that BGSE incurred in connection with its GPU and PC Air scope of work on the Projects, whether those costs were higher or lower than estimated. Those costs are discussed in more detail below and would significantly reduce Mr. Duski's unjust enrichment opinion if properly considered.

C. Mr. Duski's analysis excludes direct project-related costs that, if considered, would significantly reduce his opinion of BGSE's alleged unjust enrichment.

The following subsections discuss categories of costs that the Project Estimates, and Mr. Duski's unjust enrichment opinion, generally exclude. Yet, these costs were incurred as a direct result of BGSE's alleged or actual wrongful sales. Therefore, they should be considered as costs in the calculation of BGSE's alleged unjust enrichment.

1. Increased Material and Labor Costs

In my experience, it is common that contractors expect contingencies, i.e., events or circumstances that will negatively impact profit. Thus, it is reasonable to expect that BGSE's

actual profit was lower than the amount reflected on the Project Estimates.

One cause for that is changes in material requirements due to design errors or omissions, estimating errors or omissions, and field changes. To some extent, these are common and expected. Yet, they are not reflected in Mr. Duski's unjust enrichment opinion. As one example, Mr. Duski's analysis includes BGSE's expected profit on "CAS Soft Hose to Hard Pipe" connections on three Projects.¹³ In my own review of BGSE's cost records on those Projects, I identified that BGSE's actual material purchases for this scope of work were inconsistent with the Project estimates. Mr. Bullerdick explained that BGSE had intended to install soft hose connections between the PC Air units and Schedule 304 piping on these projects. However, the soft hose connections contemplated in the Project Estimates did not perform as expected. Instead, a more expensive pipe and flange assembly was fabricated and installed. As a result, BGSE incurred losses on the "CAS Soft Hose to Hard Pipe" line items. Yet, Mr. Duski's opinion includes profit on those items.

Another cause of increased material and labor costs is warranty service. I understand that many of the Projects required warranty and other follow-on services. A portion of the cost to BGSE is included in the direct labor and travel expenses discussed below. They should be considered as costs in the calculation of BGSE's alleged unjust enrichment.

2. BGSE's Direct Labor

BGSE accounts for all, or nearly all, of its personnel and labor costs as general and administrative expenses that are not directly allocated to BGSE's various projects. That accounting treatment is not uncommon. In fact, BGSE's external CPA firm even presented those personnel and labor costs as such in its financial statement review reports. Nonetheless, much of these labor costs are direct, project-related costs such as engineering, design, project management, procurement, and field services. As one example, in 2017, BGSE paid John Runyan \$48,400 in W-2 wages.¹⁴ Those wages were categorized as staff wages or "Salaries – staff" in BGSE's reviewed financials.¹⁵ I understand that Mr. Runyan was a field services technician providing direct labor on the Projects. Yet, this direct labor is ignored in Mr. Duski's analysis. Instead, these direct labor expenses should be considered in the calculation of BGSE's unjust enrichment.

¹³ Duski Supplement, Exhibit 4, Schedules A, C, and D.

¹⁴ BGSE_00603377.

¹⁵ Attachment 5.

3. Commissions

Commissions and other sales-related compensation should be considered in the calculation of BGSE's unjust enrichment. Mr. Duski even acknowledges as much. In his Supplemental Report, he states:

BGSE's P&L also includes a deduction for commission. However, I am unaware what portion of commissions, if any, relate to PC Air. Therefore, they have been excluded for purposes of my calculation. Notably, I understand that it is the Defendants' burden to prove all costs or deductions claimed.¹⁶

Again, BGSE accounts for commissions as general and administrative expenses. Yet, commissions are project-related costs that BGSE incurred incrementally in connection with its alleged or actual wrongful sales. Thus, they should be considered as costs in the calculation of BGSE's alleged unjust enrichment.

4. Travel Expenses

In connection with the project-related direct labor and sales discussed above, BGSE incurred significant travel expenses. Again, BGSE accounts for travel expenses in a general and administrative account. Not all travel costs are assigned to specific projects. Yet, they are project-related expenses that should be considered in the calculation of BGSE's alleged unjust enrichment.

5. Other Direct Costs

Historically, BGSE accounted for a relatively small portion of other direct costs such as shipping and equipment rentals in general and administrative expense accounts. Yet, they are project-related expenses that should be considered in the calculation of BGSE's alleged unjust enrichment.

D. I disagree with Mr. Duski's inclusion of BGSE's entire PC Air and GPU scope of work in BGSE's alleged unjust enrichment; however, if the trier of fact finds that appropriate, a reasonable estimate of BGSE's actual incremental PC Air and GPU profit on the Projects is \$1,097,202.

As discussed in my Initial Rebuttal, Mr. Duski's opinion of BGSE's alleged unjust enrichment is more expansive than the wrongful profit that JBT's own pleadings, and Mr. Duski's own report, describes. That is because Mr. Duski's analysis includes BGSE's *entire* GPU and PC Air scope of work. By contrast, my analysis is limited to profit from BGSE's sale of GPU or PC Air

¹⁶ Duski Supplement, Exhibit 4, Schedules B, C, E, and H.

units alone. Nonetheless, in case the trier of fact determines that Mr. Duski's expanded view of BGSE's unjust enrichment is appropriate, I prepared a comparable analysis that better accounts for BGSE's actual profit on the same scope of work.

In doing so, I started with the same BGSE revenue that Mr. Duski used in his analysis. However, I reduced that revenue for PC Air on Eielson EIE-378 because I understand that BGSE never installed PC Air on that Project.¹⁷ Indeed, I have been unable to identify any records associated with BGSE's actual installation of PC Air on that project. I also excluded revenue from the six Projects for which Mr. Duski failed to provide a connection to JBT's Claims. As shown in **Attachment 4**, that adjusted revenue is \$7,171,252.

Next, I estimated BGSE's incremental profit margin, commonly referred to as "contribution margin," based on *actual* historical performance. That profit margin accounts for actual cost of goods sold (e.g., materials purchased) *and* other incremental project costs such as labor, commissions, shipping, and travel. While BGSE did not specifically assign these costs to the Projects in its Project Estimates or internal accounting, BGSE could not have received alleged wrongful revenues without incurring these costs. **Attachment 5** shows that BGSE's estimated contribution margin based on actual performance is [REDACTED]%. That is significantly lower than the [REDACTED]% profit margin implied by Mr. Duski's analysis as shown in **Attachment 4**.

I applied the [REDACTED]% contribution margin to the \$[REDACTED] adjusted revenue. The result is an estimated incremental GPU and PC Air profit of \$[REDACTED]¹⁸

The application of a company-wide contribution margin does not account for the relatively higher cost nature of PC Air work versus other products and services that BGSE provided. Mr. Duski supports this point. He states in his Supplemental Report, in support of his lost profits opinion, "Through discussion with Nick Webb, Global Pricing and Analytics Manager at JBT AeroTech, he estimates that approximately 75% of site startup testing, commissioning, and training of equipment relates to PC Air, as opposed to GPUs, because PC Air installation is much more complex and time consuming." (emphasis added) I may review additional documents and information to further analyze BGSE's historical contribution margin and incremental project costs, and update my analysis prior to trial. That may include a heavier weighting of project costs to PC Air as Mr. Duski did in his lost profits analysis.

¹⁷ Per discussion with Bryan Bullerdick.

¹⁸ Attachment 4.

IV. Purpose and Use

This report should not be used for any purpose other than as stated herein. The analysis and opinions contained in this report are based upon documents, information, facts, and allegations that are unique to this case. This report, including any portion thereof, shall not be distributed to any third party without my prior, written consent.

APPENDIX A



GreerWalker

Nicholas R. Harris, CPA/ABV, CFA, CFE

Curriculum Vitae

Position

Director, Forensic and Valuation Services
GreerWalker, LLP, Certified Public Accountants
227 West Trade Street, Suite 1100
Charlotte, NC 28202
Phone: (704) 816-7039
E-mail: nick.harris@greerwalker.com

Professional History

The Kenrich Group LLC, Chicago, IL - Principal
JPMorgan Chase Bank NA, New York, NY - Financial Analyst
Deloitte, Detroit, MI – Audit and Enterprise Risk Intern

Education

Bachelor of Business Administration, University of Michigan, Ross School of Business
Certificate in Specialized Studies, Audit and Forensic Accounting, Northwestern University

Professional Certifications

Certified Public Accountant (CPA), Accredited in Business Valuation (ABV)
Chartered Financial Analyst (CFA), Charter Holder
Certified Fraud Examiner (CFE)

Publications

How Contractors Can Avoid Claim Pricing Pitfalls, published in CFMA (Construction Financial Management Association) Building Profits magazine (March/April 2019 Edition)

Summary of Experience

Nick specializes in business valuation and forensic accounting including economic damages valuation and fraud investigation. Nick frequently offers opinions and analysis in business transactions including post-closing earn-outs and working capital adjustments.

In construction matters, Nick has assisted owners, general contractors, subcontractors, and sureties in valuing claims related to lost profits, loss of business value, cost overruns, defective work, acceleration, delay and disruption. Nick has assisted project owners and developers with reviewing contract change requests and auditing project costs.

Nick has testified as an expert witness in State and Federal Court. Nick has also presented damages calculations for mediation and settlement purposes.

Prior to joining GreerWalker in January 2017, Nick was a Principal in the Chicago office of a national consulting firm focused on financial forensics.

GreerWalker LLP | GreerWalker Wealth LLC | GreerWalker Corporate Finance LLC

Charlotte Office The Carillon | 227 West Trade St., Suite 1100 | Charlotte, NC 28202 | USA | Tel 704.377.0239

Greenville Office Wells Fargo Center | 15 South Main St., Suite 800 | Greenville, SC 29601 | USA | Tel 864.752.0080

Nick Harris
Page 2

Trial, Court, and Deposition Testimony History

August 17, 2021, deposition testimony, Piedmont Parking Solutions, LLC d/b/a Carolina Site v. William Sclater Heindl III and WSH Construction, Inc. f/k/a Carolina Site Concepts, Inc., North Carolina Superior Court, Case No. 20-CV-11905, Johnston, Allison & Hord, P.A., Attorneys for Plaintiff

May 25, 2021, deposition testimony, Maaco Franchisor SPV, LLC v. Gregg A. Sadwick and Greba Corporation, United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, Charlotte Division, Case Number 3:20-CV-147, Moore & Van Allen PLLC, Benjamin E. Shook, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff

November 18, 2020, deposition testimony, EarthKind, LLC v. The Lebermuth Company Inc. and Robert M. Brown, United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, Statesville Division, Case Number 5:19-cv-00051-GCM, Moore & Van Allen PLLC, Scott M. Tyler, Esq. and Christopher D. Tomlinson, Esq., Attorneys for Plaintiff

August 11, 2020, deposition testimony, Platinum Press, Inc. v. Danielle Douros-Hawk, 3C! Packaging, Inc., John Cullen, and Russell Hill, United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, Charlotte Division, Case Number 3:18-CV-00458-GCM, Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP, Kevin V. Parsons, Esq. and Philip A. Hinson, Esq., Attorneys for Plaintiff

February 25, 2020, deposition testimony, Value Health Solutions Inc. and Nagarajan Parthasarathy v. Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc. and PRA Health Sciences, Inc., North Carolina Superior Court, Case No. 2018-CVS-12318, Mainsail Lawyers, David Guidry, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiff

June 21, 2019, deposition testimony, Belcher Pharmaceuticals, LLC v. Hospira, Inc., United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Case Number 8:17-cv-2353-T-30JSS, Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC, S. Lloyd Smith, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiff

May 21, 2019, deposition testimony, Frederike Hecht a/k/a Freddie Hecht and Bill Hecht v. Charleston Custom Homes and Remodeling, LLC and NBM Construction Company, Inc., Joint Venture, American Arbitration Association, Case Number 01-18-0003-9393, Womble Bond Dickinson (US) LLP, William Warnock, Jr., Esq., Attorney for Claimants

March 26, 2019, deposition testimony, John Bean Technologies Corporation v. B GSE Group, LLC and Bryan Bullerdick; United States District Court for the District of Utah, Central Division, Case Number 1:17-cv-00142-RJS, Bell Davis & Pitt, P.A., Edward B. Davis, Esq. and Joshua B. Durham, Esq., Attorneys for Defendants

October 26, 2018, trial testimony, Y2 Yoga Cotswold, LLC v. Vinroy W. Reid; VR King Construction LLC; VR Investments, LLC; Baranko Enterprise Inc., and Spend Management Solutions, LLC; North Carolina Superior Court, Case No. 16-CVS-23179, Rabon Law Firm, PLLC, David Guidry, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiff

June 13, 2018, testimony, hearing on Motion to Modify Confirmed Bankruptcy Plan, Alan Thomas Withrow, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of North Carolina; Case No. 11-30117, Moon Wright & Houston, PLLC, Richard S. Wright, Esq., Attorney for Debtor

Nick Harris

Page 3

May 16, 2018, testimony, hearing on Motion to Modify Confirmed Bankruptcy Plan and for Related Relief, William Patrick Cune and Sallie Ann Cune, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of North Carolina; Case No. 16-31409, Moon Wright & Houston, PLLC, Richard S. Wright, Esq., Attorney for Debtors

Professional Affiliations

President and Board Chair, Association of Certified Fraud Examiners Charlotte Chapter

Member, Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE)

Member, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA)

Member, AICPA Forensic and Valuation Services (FVS) Section

Member, North Carolina Association of Certified Public Accountants (NCACPA)

Member, Financial Consulting Group (Business Valuation/Litigation Services)

Member, Chartered Financial Analyst Institute (CFAI)

Community Service

Charlotte Center for Legal Advocacy

Board of Directors, Finance Committee Chair

Larry King's Clubhouse

Board of Directors

APPENDIX B

**John Bean Technologies Corporation v.
B GSE Group, LLC and Bryan Bullerdick
Documents Received**

Appendix B

Pleadings and Interrogatory Responses

First Amended Complaint and Exhibits A-O, dated March 16, 2018
 Defendants' Answer to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, dated April 4, 2018
 Order Entering into Preliminary Injunction, dated September 20, 2017
 Plaintiff's Responses to Defendant's Second Set of Interrogatories, dated October 15, 2018
 Plaintiff's Answer to Defendants' Counterclaims, dated April 18, 2018
 Defendants' Motion to Preclude the Testimony and Expert Report of David R. Duski dated April 18, 2019
 Summary Judgment Memorandum Decision and Order Dated August 13, 2020
 Plaintiff's Third Set of Requests to Produce to Defendant B GSE Group, LLC and associated correspondence

Expert Reports

Expert Report of David R. Duski, dated January 10, 2019
 Supplemental Expert Report of David R. Duski, dated August 3, 2021 and documents relied upon ⁽¹⁾

Bates Stamped Documents

<u>Bates Beginning</u>	<u>Bates Ending</u>
BGSE_00035349	BGSE_00035349
BGSE_00037881	BGSE_00037881
BGSE_00039280	BGSE_00039280
BGSE_00039809	BGSE_00039813
BGSE_00044676	BGSE_00044681
BGSE_00045336	BGSE_00045337
BGSE_00045959	BGSE_00045959
BGSE_00047062	BGSE_00047062
BGSE_00065902	BGSE_00065903
BGSE_00066012	BGSE_00066013
BGSE_00076917	BGSE_00076917
BGSE_00077153	BGSE_00077153
BGSE_00090287	BGSE_00090288
BGSE_00092556	BGSE_00092556
BGSE_00100413	BGSE_00100413
BGSE_00138564	BGSE_00138565
BGSE_00139721	BGSE_00139721
BGSE_00141670	BGSE_00141670
BGSE_00142327	BGSE_00142328
BGSE_00142330	BGSE_00142331
BGSE_00145587	BGSE_00145588
BGSE_00149196	BGSE_00149196
BGSE_00149199	BGSE_00149200
BGSE_00152412	BGSE_00152412
BGSE_00152986	BGSE_00152986
BGSE_00153174	BGSE_00153175
BGSE_00153851	BGSE_00153851
BGSE_00154534	BGSE_00154535
BGSE_00154860	BGSE_00154860
BGSE_00155282	BGSE_00155282
BGSE_00156350	BGSE_00156350
BGSE_00161789	BGSE_00161789
BGSE_00161903	BGSE_00161904
BGSE_00163032	BGSE_00163032
BGSE_00165273	BGSE_00165273
BGSE_00167900	BGSE_00161904
BGSE_00167903	BGSE_00167904

**John Bean Technologies Corporation v.
B GSE Group, LLC and Bryan Bullerdick
Documents Received**

Appendix B

<u>Bates Beginning</u>	<u>Bates Ending</u>
BGSE_00169358	BGSE_00169358
BGSE_00170936	BGSE_00170936
BGSE_00171976	BGSE_00171976
BGSE_00173378	BGSE_00173378
BGSE_00177430	BGSE_00177430
BGSE_00177733	BGSE_00177733
BGSE_00177976	BGSE_00177977
BGSE_00182953	BGSE_00182953
BGSE_00183158	BGSE_00183159
BGSE_00183458	BGSE_00183458
BGSE_00185063	BGSE_00185064
BGSE_00185066	BGSE_00185066
BGSE_00185068	BGSE_00185069
BGSE_00185934	BGSE_00185934
BGSE_00186110	BGSE_00186111
BGSE_00186713	BGSE_00186713
BGSE_00187542	BGSE_00187542
BGSE_00193157	BGSE_00193157
BGSE_00193373	BGSE_00193373
BGSE_00193448	BGSE_00193448
BGSE_00193482	BGSE_00193482
BGSE_00193564	BGSE_00193564
BGSE_00193760	BGSE_00193760
BGSE_00194329	BGSE_00194329
BGSE_00194761	BGSE_00194761
BGSE_00196055	BGSE_00196055
BGSE_00197431	BGSE_00197432
BGSE_00198909	BGSE_00198909
BGSE_00199147	BGSE_00199147
BGSE_00200662	BGSE_00200663
BGSE_00200748	BGSE_00200748
BGSE_00201193	BGSE_00201193
BGSE_00201221	BGSE_00201221
BGSE_00201625	BGSE_00201626
BGSE_00204609	BGSE_00204609
BGSE_00204729	BGSE_00204729
BGSE_00204731	BGSE_00204735
BGSE_00205171	BGSE_00205171
BGSE_00207062	BGSE_00207062
BGSE_00210675	BGSE_00210675
BGSE_00210881	BGSE_00210882
BGSE_00212474	BGSE_00212475
BGSE_00213198	BGSE_00213198
BGSE_00215648	BGSE_00215649
BGSE_00215788	BGSE_00215789
BGSE_00215803	BGSE_00215803
BGSE_00215834	BGSE_00215835
BGSE_00216568	BGSE_00216574
BGSE_00216691	BGSE_00216691

**John Bean Technologies Corporation v.
B GSE Group, LLC and Bryan Bullerdick
Documents Received**

Appendix B

<u>Bates Beginning</u>	<u>Bates Ending</u>
BGSE_00216720	BGSE_00216721
BGSE_00216870	BGSE_00216871
BGSE_00216910	BGSE_00216910
BGSE_00217172	BGSE_00217173
BGSE_00217344	BGSE_00217345
BGSE_00217451	BGSE_00217452
BGSE_00218625	BGSE_00218625
BGSE_00218628	BGSE_00218628
BGSE_00218892	BGSE_00218892
BGSE_00219270	BGSE_00219270
BGSE_00219671	BGSE_00219671
BGSE_00219911	BGSE_00219911
BGSE_00223990	BGSE_00223990
BGSE_00224467	BGSE_00224467
BGSE_00227096	BGSE_00227096
BGSE_00231207	BGSE_00231207
BGSE_00231226	BGSE_00231226
BGSE_00234484	BGSE_00234484
BGSE_00234846	BGSE_00234850
BGSE_00237415	BGSE_00237416
BGSE_00237543	BGSE_00237543
BGSE_00238103	BGSE_00238103
BGSE_00238805	BGSE_00238806
BGSE_00238893	BGSE_00238894
BGSE_00239410	BGSE_00239411
BGSE_00239523	BGSE_00239524
BGSE_00240489	BGSE_00240489
BGSE_00241853	BGSE_00241854
BGSE_00241885-00001	BGSE_00241885-00001
BGSE_00241886	BGSE_00241887
BGSE_00243222	BGSE_00243222
BGSE_00243312	BGSE_00243312
BGSE_00243326	BGSE_00243327
BGSE_00243359	BGSE_00243359
BGSE_00245367	BGSE_00245369
BGSE_00245468	BGSE_00245468
BGSE_00246198	BGSE_00246198
BGSE_00249210	BGSE_00249210
BGSE_00249793	BGSE_00249793
BGSE_00249802	BGSE_00249804
BGSE_00249839	BGSE_00249839
BGSE_00251312	BGSE_00251312
BGSE_00251426	BGSE_00251426
BGSE_00251951	BGSE_00251951
BGSE_00252279	BGSE_00252282
BGSE_00254865	BGSE_00254865
BGSE_00254869	BGSE_00254869
BGSE_00254893	BGSE_00254893
BGSE_00255693	BGSE_00255693
BGSE_00255695	BGSE_00255695
BGSE_00255709	BGSE_00255709

**John Bean Technologies Corporation v.
B GSE Group, LLC and Bryan Bullerdick
Documents Received**

Appendix B

<u>Bates Beginning</u>	<u>Bates Ending</u>
BGSE_00255714	BGSE_00255714
BGSE_00255750	BGSE_00255750
BGSE_00257207	BGSE_00257208
BGSE_00266188	BGSE_00266188
BGSE_00270585	BGSE_00270586
BGSE_00272442	BGSE_00272443
BGSE_00273504	BGSE_00273505
BGSE_00275765	BGSE_00275767
BGSE_00276150	BGSE_00276151
BGSE_00276491	BGSE_00276491
BGSE_00277402	BGSE_00277402
BGSE_00285559	BGSE_00285559
BGSE_00285902	BGSE_00285902
BGSE_00286220	BGSE_00286220
BGSE_00286232	BGSE_00286232
BGSE_00286240	BGSE_00286240
BGSE_00287356	BGSE_00287357
BGSE_00287362	BGSE_00287363
BGSE_00288044	BGSE_00288044
BGSE_00288119	BGSE_00288119
BGSE_00288295	BGSE_00288296
BGSE_00289786	BGSE_00289789
BGSE_00290940	BGSE_00290941
BGSE_00291368-0001	BGSE_00291368-0001
BGSE_00293515	BGSE_00293515
BGSE_00297007	BGSE_00297007
BGSE_00300730	BGSE_00300732
BGSE_00303463	BGSE_00303463
BGSE_00303609	BGSE_00303609
BGSE_00303612	BGSE_00303612
BGSE_00304683-00002	BGSE_00304683-00002
BGSE_00306746	BGSE_00306746
BGSE_00306750	BGSE_00306750
BGSE_00307388-00001	BGSE_00307388-00001
BGSE_00308846	BGSE_00308846
BGSE_00311243	BGSE_00311243
BGSE_00311363	BGSE_00311363
BGSE_00311376	BGSE_00311378
BGSE_00312012	BGSE_00312012
BGSE_00312167-00001	BGSE_00312167-00001
BGSE_00312615	BGSE_00312616
BGSE_00312677	BGSE_00312677
BGSE_00313683	BGSE_00313684
BGSE_00315885	BGSE_00315885
BGSE_00316709	BGSE_00316710
BGSE_00316781	BGSE_00316781
BGSE_00324057	BGSE_00324059
BGSE_00324149	BGSE_00324149
BGSE_00327127	BGSE_00327127
BGSE_00329724	BGSE_00329724
BGSE_00330656	BGSE_00330656
BGSE_00331114	BGSE_00331114
BGSE_00332906	BGSE_00332906
BGSE_00332911	BGSE_00332911

**John Bean Technologies Corporation v.
B GSE Group, LLC and Bryan Bullerdick
Documents Received**

Appendix B

<u>Bates Beginning</u>	<u>Bates Ending</u>
BGSE_00332917	BGSE_00332917
BGSE_00333014	BGSE_00333014
BGSE_00334253	BGSE_00334253
BGSE_00334433	BGSE_00334433
BGSE_00334673-0001	BGSE_00334673-0001
BGSE_00335587	BGSE_00335588
BGSE_00336769	BGSE_00336769
BGSE_00337953	BGSE_00337953
BGSE_00338687	BGSE_00338687
BGSE_00339470	BGSE_00339471
BGSE_00339473	BGSE_00339473
BGSE_00340898	BGSE_00340898
BGSE_00340901	BGSE_00340901
BGSE_00340909	BGSE_00340909
BGSE_00340911	BGSE_00340911
BGSE_00340913	BGSE_00340913
BGSE_00340923	BGSE_00340923
BGSE_00340927	BGSE_00340927
BGSE_00343070-00001	BGSE_00343070-00001
BGSE_00347333	BGSE_00347334
BGSE_00347425	BGSE_00347426
BGSE_00347936	BGSE_00347936
BGSE_00351167	BGSE_00351167
BGSE_00351456	BGSE_00351457
BGSE_00353142	BGSE_00353142
BGSE_00358949	BGSE_00358951
BGSE_00359208	BGSE_00359209
BGSE_00359447	BGSE_00359447
BGSE_00360373	BGSE_00360373
BGSE_00371062	BGSE_00371062
BGSE_00371935	BGSE_00371936
BGSE_00372203	BGSE_00372203
BGSE_00373452	BGSE_00373455
BGSE_00374425	BGSE_00374425
BGSE_00381515	BGSE_00381515
BGSE_00381517	BGSE_00381517
BGSE_00384519	BGSE_00384519
BGSE_00384629	BGSE_00384629
BGSE_00384777	BGSE_00384777
BGSE_00384999	BGSE_00384999
BGSE_00385193	BGSE_00385193
BGSE_00387190	BGSE_00387190
BGSE_00387320	BGSE_00387320
BGSE_00387675	BGSE_00387675
BGSE_00387681	BGSE_00387682
BGSE_00388760	BGSE_00388760
BGSE_00388815	BGSE_00388815
BGSE_00388820	BGSE_00388820
BGSE_00389230	BGSE_00389235
BGSE_00391139	BGSE_00391139
BGSE_00392520	BGSE_00392527
BGSE_00393674	BGSE_00393677

**John Bean Technologies Corporation v.
B GSE Group, LLC and Bryan Bullerdick
Documents Received**

Appendix B

<u>Bates Beginning</u>	<u>Bates Ending</u>
BGSE_00394260	BGSE_00394261
BGSE_00395395	BGSE_00395396
BGSE_00395424	BGSE_00395426
BGSE_00397922	BGSE_00397928
BGSE_00398494	BGSE_00398521
BGSE_00398595	BGSE_00398595
BGSE_00398673	BGSE_00398674
BGSE_00398905	BGSE_00398905
BGSE_00399785	BGSE_00399785
BGSE_00400850	BGSE_00400850
BGSE_00402067	BGSE_00402067
BGSE_00402070	BGSE_00402070
BGSE_00402104	BGSE_00402106
BGSE_00402110	BGSE_00402110
BGSE_00402195	BGSE_00402195
BGSE_00402219	BGSE_00402219
BGSE_00402704	BGSE_00402704
BGSE_00402814	BGSE_00402814
BGSE_00402864	BGSE_00402865
BGSE_00402867	BGSE_00402867
BGSE_00403108	BGSE_00403108
BGSE_00403538	BGSE_00403538
BGSE_00403664	BGSE_00403664
BGSE_00403681	BGSE_00403681
BGSE_00403870	BGSE_00403870
BGSE_00404030	BGSE_00404031
BGSE_00404034	BGSE_00404034
BGSE_00404426	BGSE_00404426
BGSE_00404466	BGSE_00404466
BGSE_00404788	BGSE_00404789
BGSE_00405329	BGSE_00405329
BGSE_00405337	BGSE_00405337
BGSE_00405470	BGSE_00405470
BGSE_00405546	BGSE_00405546
BGSE_00405645	BGSE_00405645
BGSE_00405855	BGSE_00405855
BGSE_00405997	BGSE_00405997
BGSE_00407683	BGSE_00407683
BGSE_00420117	BGSE_00420117
BGSE_00420180	BGSE_00420181
BGSE_00420296	BGSE_00420296
BGSE_00420593	BGSE_00420593
BGSE_00420617	BGSE_00420622
BGSE_00420626	BGSE_00420626
BGSE_00421080	BGSE_00421084
BGSE_00421245	BGSE_00421246
BGSE_00421680	BGSE_00421681
BGSE_00422790	BGSE_00422790
BGSE_00422795	BGSE_00422795
BGSE_00422876	BGSE_00422876

**John Bean Technologies Corporation v.
B GSE Group, LLC and Bryan Bullerdick
Documents Received**

Appendix B

<u>Bates Beginning</u>	<u>Bates Ending</u>
BGSE_00424791	BGSE_00424791
BGSE_00426566	BGSE_00426566
BGSE_00427280	BGSE_00427281
BGSE_00427635	BGSE_00427635
BGSE_00427981	BGSE_00427981
BGSE_00428966	BGSE_00428966
BGSE_00428976	BGSE_00428976
BGSE_00429006	BGSE_00429007
BGSE_00429020	BGSE_00429020
BGSE_00429660	BGSE_00429660
BGSE_00429720	BGSE_00429720
BGSE_00429809	BGSE_00429810
BGSE_00429898	BGSE_00429898
BGSE_00430281	BGSE_00430281
BGSE_00431301	BGSE_00431302
BGSE_00431304	BGSE_00431304
BGSE_00431388	BGSE_00431389
BGSE_00431459	BGSE_00431459
BGSE_00433396	BGSE_00433396
BGSE_00433401	BGSE_00433407
BGSE_00433428	BGSE_00433428
BGSE_00434098	BGSE_00434098
BGSE_00436365	BGSE_00436365
BGSE_00436493	BGSE_00436494
BGSE_00438082	BGSE_00438082
BGSE_00438624	BGSE_00438624
BGSE_00441618	BGSE_00441618
BGSE_00441811	BGSE_00441811
BGSE_00441966	BGSE_00441966
BGSE_00442028	BGSE_00442028
BGSE_00442877	BGSE_00442877
BGSE_00444031	BGSE_00444031
BGSE_00444243	BGSE_00444245
BGSE_00447085	BGSE_00447085
BGSE_00447138	BGSE_00447138
BGSE_00447860	BGSE_00447860
BGSE_00447886	BGSE_00447889
BGSE_00448524	BGSE_00448524
BGSE_00448974	BGSE_00448975
BGSE_00449578	BGSE_00449578
BGSE_00449613	BGSE_00449618
BGSE_00449841	BGSE_00449841
BGSE_00450006	BGSE_00450006
BGSE_00450008	BGSE_00450008
BGSE_00457980	BGSE_00457980
BGSE_00458010	BGSE_00458010
BGSE_00459714	BGSE_00459714
BGSE_00460259	BGSE_00460259
BGSE_00462118	BGSE_00462119
BGSE_00462121	BGSE_00462122

**John Bean Technologies Corporation v.
B GSE Group, LLC and Bryan Bullerdick
Documents Received**

Appendix B

<u>Bates Beginning</u>	<u>Bates Ending</u>
BGSE_00462589	BGSE_00462589
BGSE_00463759	BGSE_00463760
BGSE_00463862	BGSE_00463862
BGSE_00463944	BGSE_00463944
BGSE_00464053	BGSE_00464053
BGSE_00464055	BGSE_00464056
BGSE_00464116	BGSE_00464116
BGSE_00464324	BGSE_00464324
BGSE_00464326	BGSE_00464327
BGSE_00464345	BGSE_00464345
BGSE_00464355	BGSE_00464356
BGSE_00464359	BGSE_00464359
BGSE_00464366	BGSE_00464366
BGSE_00464370	BGSE_00464370
BGSE_00464426	BGSE_00464426
BGSE_00464429	BGSE_00464429
BGSE_00464438	BGSE_00464438
BGSE_00469780	BGSE_00469781
BGSE_00470064	BGSE_00470065
BGSE_00482547	BGSE_00482547
BGSE_00489372	BGSE_00489372
BGSE_00489374	BGSE_00489374
BGSE_00494723	BGSE_00494723
BGSE_00495493	BGSE_00495494
BGSE_00497462	BGSE_00497462
BGSE_00506677	BGSE_00506677
BGSE_00509054	BGSE_00509054
BGSE_00509264	BGSE_00509264
BGSE_00516181	BGSE_00516181
BGSE_00519307	BGSE_00519307
BGSE_00541355	BGSE_00541356
BGSE_00549995	BGSE_00549995
BGSE_00576033	BGSE_00576033
BGSE_00585412	BGSE_00585412
BGSE_00586014	BGSE_00586014
BGSE_00586016	BGSE_00586016
BGSE_00586889	BGSE_00586889
BGSE_00588831	BGSE_00588831
BGSE_00590011	BGSE_00590011
BGSE_00589379	BGSE_00589379
BGSE_00589751	BGSE_00589751
BGSE_00590315	BGSE_00590316
BGSE_00590349	BGSE_00590349
BGSE_00601921	BGSE_00601921
BGSE_00601941	BGSE_00602720
BGSE_00602789	BGSE_00602789
BGSE_00602811	BGSE_00602811
BGSE_00603377	BGSE_00603377
BGSE_00603466	BGSE_00603466
BGSE_00606862	BGSE_00606863
BGSE_00607724	BGSE_00607725
BGSE_00608016	BGSE_00608332
BGSE_00608052	BGSE_00608052
BGSE_00608109	BGSE_00608109
BGSE_00608121	BGSE_00608121
BGSE_00608315	BGSE_00608315
BGSE_00608334	BGSE_00608334

(2)

(2)

**John Bean Technologies Corporation v.
B GSE Group, LLC and Bryan Bullerdick
Documents Received**

Appendix B

<u>Bates Beginning</u>	<u>Bates Ending</u>
JBT00001378	JBT00001399
JBT00001518	JBT00001523
JBT00010712	JBT00010731
JBT00014971	JBT00015878
JBT00015901	JBT00015902
JBT00015950	JBT00015977
JBT00015988	JBT00015995
JBT00016061	JBT00016100
JBT00016277	JBT00016278
JBT00019121	JBT00019123
JBT00020008	JBT00020013
JBT00023185	JBT00023185
JBT00023190	JBT00023192
JBT00023250	JBT00023260
JBT00023949	JBT00023949
JBT00024265	JBT00024267
JBT00024648	JBT00024655
JBT00024991	JBT00024991
JBT00025164	JBT00025164
JBT00025349	JBT00025349
JBT00025574	JBT00025575
JBT00026313	JBT00026350
JBT00027360	JBT00027361
JBT00029419	JBT00029440
JBT00032235	JBT00032236
JBT00032417	JBT00032461
JBT00032766	JBT00032772
JBT00032780	JBT00032784
JBT00032897	JBT00032918
JBT00034092	JBT00034096
JBT00034114	JBT00034122
JBT00034157	JBT00034171
JBT00034268	JBT00034270
JBT00034276	JBT00034277
JBT00038734	JBT00038843
JBT00040850	JBT00040851
JBT00040884	JBT00040942
JBT00041002	JBT00041005
JBT00047921	JBT00047925
JBT00061628	JBT00061638
JBT00062494	JBT00062494
JBT00069829	JBT00069830
JBT00081239	JBT00081312
JBT00083821	JBT00083823
JBT00085524	JBT00085526
JBT00089549	JBT00089550
JBT00092521	JBT00092522
JBT00094378	JBT00094397
JBT00094540	JBT00094542
JBT00094585	JBT00094592
JBT00095145	JBT00095154
JBT00095162	JBT00095167
JBT00095240	JBT00095241
JBT00095996	JBT00095997
JBT00108561	JBT00108575
JBT00109157	JBT00109170
JBT00109650	JBT00109651

**John Bean Technologies Corporation v.
B GSE Group, LLC and Bryan Bullerdick
Documents Received**

Appendix B

<u>Bates Beginning</u>	<u>Bates Ending</u>
JBT00109655	JBT00109655
JBT00109796	JBT00109801
JBT00109803	JBT00109811
JBT00109815	JBT00109817
JBT00109948	JBT00109985
JBT00110056	JBT00110056
JBT00110170	JBT00110173
JBT00112843	JBT00112843
JBT00112845	JBT00112847
JBT00112952	JBT00112953
JBT00113162	JBT00113167
JBT00113283	JBT00113316
JBT00113347	JBT00113347
JBT00113660	JBT00113691
JBT00113703	JBT00113710
JBT00113855	JBT00113869
JBT00114208	JBT00114226
JBT00114503	JBT00114505
JBT00114520	JBT00114522
JBT00114595	JBT00114601
JBT00114608	JBT00114620
JBT00126082	JBT00126100
JBT00126655	JBT00126656
JBT00126677	JBT00126683
JBT00127040	JBT00127040
JBT00127188	JBT00127192
JBT00127268	JBT00127272
JBT00131689	JBT00131694
JBT00133021	JBT00133030
JBT00133056	JBT00133069
JBT00133119	JBT00133123
JBT00133143	JBT00133150
JBT00136658	JBT00136658
JBT00136675	JBT00136678
JBT00136681	JBT00136683
JBT00136909	JBT00136910
JBT00141600	JBT00141603
JBT00141983	JBT00141987
JBT00142023	JBT00142023
JBT00142034	JBT00142034
JBT00142050	JBT00142057
JBT00142095	JBT00142110
JBT00142281	JBT00142282
JBT00143139	JBT00143154
JBT00143159	JBT00143160
JBT00144845	JBT00144845
JBT00144900	JBT00144905
JBT00144907	JBT00144908
JBT00145024	JBT00145069
JBT00145156	JBT00145216
JBT00145294	JBT00145345
JBT00145414	JBT00145429
JBT00145615	JBT00145615
JBT00145743	JBT00145743
JBT00145871	JBT00145871
JBT00145885	JBT00145885
JBT00146040	JBT00146045

**John Bean Technologies Corporation v.
B GSE Group, LLC and Bryan Bullerdick
Documents Received**

Appendix B

<u>Bates Beginning</u>	<u>Bates Ending</u>
JBT00146351	JBT00146351
JBT00146355	JBT00146357
JBT00146417	JBT00146419
JBT00146431	JBT00146433
JBT00146442	JBT00146443
JBT00146454	JBT00146473
JBT00146594	JBT00146905
JBT00149383	JBT00149422
JBT00543331	JBT00543331

Deposition Transcripts

Deposition of Bryan M. Bullerdick and Exhibits 1-69, taken June 21, 2018
 Deposition of Patrick H. Lester and Exhibits 70-106, taken July 18, 2018
 Deposition of Marshall Scott Dils and Exhibits 108-174, taken July 26, 2018
 Deposition of Brian DeRoche and Exhibits 232-273, taken November 28, 2018
 Deposition of Scott Gwilliam and Exhibits 175-231, taken November 7, 2018
 Deposition of Brian D. DeRoche and Exhibits 272 and 291-299, taken December 20, 2018
 Deposition of Joaquin Gabriel Magallanez and Exhibits 274-290, taken December 20, 2018
 Deposition of David Duski and Exhibits 300-310, taken March 13, 2019 ⁽³⁾
 Deposition of Nicholas Harris and Exhibits 302-304 and 311-324, taken March 26, 2019

Research

John Bean Technologies Corporation Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014
 John Bean Technologies Corporation Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017
 AICPA Practice Aid, Calculating Intellectual Property Infringement Damages
 AICPA Practice Aid, Calculating Lost Profits
 Litigation Services Handbook, Sixth Edition
 15 U.S.C. Section 1117(a)
 Civil Action No. 1:18-cv-159 in the United States District Court, Southern District of Ohio, Western Division

Note(s):

- (1) I requested from counsel for Defendants the documents that Mr. Duski relied upon in his Supplemental Report. I received a set of documents that I understand is the documents referenced in Mr. Duski's Supplemental Report with duplicates removed.
- (2) I received from BGSE documents that I understand Defendants produced in response to JBT's third request for production. I understand that the documents that I received were later Bates numbered, and that this range represents those documents.
- (3) I was present via phone during this deposition.

ATTACHMENTS

John Bean Technologies Corporation vs. B GSE Group, LLC, et al.
BGSE Supplier Pricing Comparison and Alleged Cost Savings (PC Air)

Attachment 1
Updated 9/6/2021

Cost of Equipment from Twist and Northern Air										Would-Have-Been BGSE
Count	Project	Scope Per Duski Report (a)	Purchase Order Date	Supplier	Product Description	Quantity Ordered	Price Per Unit (1)	Amount	Cost Using JBT as Supplier	Cost Savings to BGSE
1	Lemoore P-328 (Phase 1)	PC Air	8/29/2015 (b)	Twist (c)	ACU030-D 30 Ton DX High Pressure F 35 Unit	4 (c)(2)	\$ (c)	\$ (c)	\$ (d)	\$ (d)
2	Beaufort P-465	PC Air	2/12/2016 (e)	Twist (f)	ACU030	4 (f)	\$ (f)	\$ (f)	\$ (g)	\$ (g)
3	Kadena P-803	PC Air	1/25/2016 (h)	Twist (i)	ACU030 30 Ton DX High Pressure F 35 Unit	3 (i)	\$ (i)	\$ (i)	\$ (j)	\$ (j)
4	Lemoore P-378 (Phase 2/3)	PC Air	9/23/2016 (k)	Twist (l)	ACU030 30 Ton DX High Pressure F 35 Units	7 (k)(2)	\$ (l)	\$ (l)	\$ (m)	\$ (m)
5	Beaufort P-464	PC Air	9/28/2018 (n)	Northern Air (o)	F35 PCA, 480V-3PH-60Hz, 0kW, STAND MOUNTED (4)	9 (o)	\$ (o)(5)	\$ (o)	\$ (p)	\$ (p)
6	Eielson EIE-376	PC Air		Northern Air (q)	F35 PCA, 480V-3PH-60Hz, 0kW, STAND MOUNTED (4)	4 (q)	\$ (q)	\$ (q)	\$ (r)	\$ (r)
7	Burlington B-795 (B-150)	PC Air	9/28/2018 (v)(6)	Northern Air (s)	F35 PCA, 480V-3PH-60Hz, 0kW, STAND MOUNTED (4)	5 (v)(6)	\$ (s)	\$ (s)	\$ (t)	\$ (t)
8	Eielson EIE-379	PC Air	8/15/2018 (v)	Northern Air (s)	F35 PCA, 480V-3PH-60Hz, 0kW, STAND MOUNTED (4)	4 (s)	\$ (s)	\$ (s)	\$ (t)	\$ (t)
										\$ (u)

JBT Pricing Per "Blanket Quote" (1)		
Product Description	Quantity	Price Per Unit
HPC-F 30 Ton High Pressure Pre-Conditioned Air unit – Fixed	1-4	\$ (v)
HPC-F 30 Ton High Pressure Pre-Conditioned Air unit – Fixed	5-9	\$ (v)
HPC-F 30 Ton High Pressure Pre-Conditioned Air unit – Fixed	10-14	\$ (v)
HPC-F 30 Ton High Pressure Pre-Conditioned Air unit – Fixed	15-20	\$ (v)

General Note(s):

This analysis does not include a pricing comparison for certain products/services including GPU, PC Air Master Controller, and PC Air Startup and Training. As shown in Attachment 1.1, and per discussion with Mr. Bullerick, BGSE did not save costs by using Piller to supply GPU equipment instead of JBT. Furthermore, per BGSE, the estimated cost to BGSE for PC Air Master Controller and PC Air Startup and Training is greater than the amount it would have paid to JBT. As such, those products/services are not included in this analysis. The addition of those items may further reduce the amount of BGSE's alleged unjust enrichment.

Source(s):

- (a) Duski Supplement, Updated Exhibit 4, Summary.
- (b) BGSE_00589379.
- (c) BGSE_00307338-00001 and BGSE_00304683-00002.
- (d) Duski Supplement, Updated Exhibit 3, Schedule A1.
- (e) BGSE_00241885-00001.
- (f) BGSE_00601953.
- (g) Duski Supplement, Updated Exhibit 3, Schedule B1.
- (h) BGSE_00608184.
- (i) BGSE_00312167-00001.
- (j) Duski Supplement, Updated Exhibit 3, Schedule C1.
- (k) BGSE_00589751.
- (l) BGSE_00334673-00001 and BGSE_00343070-00001.
- (m) BGSE_00590315-16.
- (n) BGSE_00590349, BGSE_00601921, BGSE_00608109, BGSE_00590011 (NA Invoice No. 0076520-IN).
- (o) BGSE_00602375 and BGSE_00602335.
- (p) BGSE_00602160 and BGSE_00602117.
- (q) BGSE_00602114, BGSE_00602129, BGSE_00602068.
- (r) BGSE_00602596.
- (s) BGSE_00602569.
- (t) JBT00133067.

Note(s):

- (1) Amounts shown in this column do not include additional materials such as CAS Soft Hose to Hard Pipe Connections, CAS Flanges, Hose Clamps, etc. To the extent that those types of costs are included in JBT's "blanket quote" pricing, then they should also be included in this column. The addition of those costs would further reduce the amount of BGSE's alleged unjust enrichment.
- (2) The allocation of PC Air units between Lemoore Phase 1 and Phases 2/3 is per the Project Estimates for comparison purposes.
- (3) This amount is based on pricing in JBT's "blanket quote" by quantity.
- (4) Per discussion with Mr. Bullerick this unit meets the specifications and requirements for this project and meets or exceeds the performance of a 30-ton JBT unit.
- (5) BGSE's purchase order to Northern Air included nine total PC Air units. Five units were priced at \$ (v) and included notes that these four units would have a larger circuit breaker, blower, and blower motor. BGSE later received a credit memo from Northern Air that reduced the price on four units from \$79,000 to \$ (v) per unit. Northern Air's invoices and statements suggest that BGSE's cost was \$ (v) for nine units on this project.
- (6) The invoices cited above include three units. I understand from discussion with BGSE that five units were installed at the same price per unit.

John Bean Technologies Corporation vs. B GSE Group, LLC, et al.
BGSE Supplier Pricing Comparison (GPU)

Attachment 1.1
Updated 9/6/2021

JBT GPU Pricing			Actual Cost of Equipment from Piller		
Per BGSE "Blanket" Purchase Order ^(a)					
Item Description	Price Per Unit		Item Description	Price Per Unit ⁽²⁾	Project
<i>Comparison of 270 VDC Pricing</i>					
270 VDC	\$		270 VDC	\$	Beaufort P-464 (b)(3)
270 VDC Stands	\$		270 VDC	\$	Burlington B-795 (150) (c)
			270 VDC	\$	Eielson EIE-378 (d)
Unit and Stand	\$		270 VDC	\$	Eielson EIE-379 (e)
			270 VDC	\$	Eielson EIE-393 (g)
			270 VDC	\$	Eielson EIE-394 (h)
			270 VDC	\$	Eielson EIE-376 (i)
			Average	\$	
			270VDC Power Converter Stands	\$	Eielson EIE-379 (f)
			270VDC Power Converter Stands	\$	Eielson EIE-376 (f)
			15" Piller Stand	\$	Eielson EIE-378 (j)
			Average	\$	
			Average Unit and Stand	\$	
<i>GPU Items BGSE Has Not Supplied or that JBT Would Not Have Supplied on the Projects ⁽¹⁾</i>					
270 VDC / 400HZ Combo	\$				
90KVA 400HZ	\$				

Source(s):

- (a) JBT00015991.
(b) BGSE_00585412.
(c) BGSE_00588831 and BGSE_00602081.
(d) BGSE_00586014.
(e) BGSE_00602588.
(f) BGSE_00602293.
(g) BGSE_00602511.
(h) BGSE_00586016.
(i) BGSE_00602295.
(j) BGSE_00602442.

Note(s):

- (1) I understand from Mr. Bullerdick that while BGSE's Project Estimates list "270 VDC FLED," BGSE never supplied or installed these products for the respective Projects. According to Mr. Bullerdick, JBT GPUs were too large in that they would have encroached on the fire egress on certain projects.
- (2) Per discussion with Mr. Bullerdick, units from Piller are shipped from Europe. Shipping and other related costs can range from \$ to \$ per unit which is higher than shipping on JBT units. As such, there is no cost savings to BGSE associated with using Piller as the supplier of GPU versus JBT.
- (3) This invoice includes nine of the thirteen units installed on the project. The invoice for the remaining four units shows a lower price, but also states, "BALANCE AT DELIVERY," suggesting that a portion of the purchase price was already paid and excluded from the invoice amount.

Attachment 2
Updated 9/6/2021

(1) These amounts are estimates of the additional costs that BGSE would have incurred in addition to the cost of products and services that JBT would have provided. They include CAS Soft Hose to Hard Pipe Connection, CAS Flange, Hose Clamps, and Shipping. Per discussion with Mr. Bullerdick, these items would not have been provided by JBT. In addition, these items do not appear to be included in JBT's costs as part of Mr. Duski's calculation of JBT's alleged lost profit on these projects.

John Bean Technologies Corporation vs. B GSE Group, LLC, et al.
Timing of JBT Direct Bidding Relative to JBT Discovery of BGSE Alleged Wrongful Acts

Attachment 3
Updated 9/6/2021

Project	Contractor Receiving JBT Bid/Quote				JBT Alleged Damages	
	Approximate Date of RFP / Bid	Actual	But For BGSE Alleged Wrongful Acts		Lost Profit	BGSE Unjust Enrichment
Beaufort P-465	2014 (c)	BGSE (j)	BGSE		X	X
Lemoore P-328	Feb-15 (a)	BGSE (k)	BGSE		X	X
May 2016 - Email from Michael Austin stating, "... how do we keep from becoming competitors (we both feel like this is likely not best for either party)." (f)						
Lemoore P-378	Jan-16 (q)	Not Submitted (e)	BGSE (1)			X
Kadena P-803	Jan-16 (d)	BGSE (l)(3)	BGSE		X	X
August 2016 - JBT "discovered an instance where BGSE misrepresented that is was the manufacturer of a JBT product and misrepresented its relationship with JBT ..." (b)						
Beaufort P-464	Jan-17 (r)	Haskell, Erickson Associates, Mitchell Brothers, Sauer RQ Construction (i)	Unknown / Potentially BGSE			X
Eielson EIE-376	Mar-17 (h)	(m)(2)	Unknown / Potentially BGSE			X
Burlington B-795	Apr-17 (n)	Benaka, Kallidus Technologies (o)	Unknown / Potentially BGSE			X
Eielson EIE-378	May-17 (p)	Amped Electric / Amped Fire & Security (g)	Unknown / Potentially BGSE			X

Source(s):

- (a) 2019 Duski Report, p. 26.
 (b) 2019 Duski Report, p. 17.
 (c) 2019 Duski Report, pp. 35-38.
 (d) 2019 Duski Report, p. 43.
 (e) 2019 Duski Report, p. 50.
 (f) JBT00109976-85 at 77.
 (g) 2019 Duski Report, p. 65.
 (h) 2019 Duski Report, p. 55.
 (i) 2019 Duski Report, p. 53.
 (j) 2019 Duski Report, p. 38.
 (k) 2019 Duski Report, p. 27.
 (l) 2019 Duski Report, pp. 44-45.
 (m) 2019 Duski Report, p. 56.
 (n) 2019 Duski Report, p. 58.
 (o) 2019 Duski Report, p. 61 and footnote 312.
 (p) 2019 Duski Report, p. 63.
 (q) 2019 Duski Report, p. 47.
 (r) 2019 Duski Report, p. 51.

Note(s):

- (1) Mr. Duski states, "I further understand that JBT did not submit a bid for PC Air or GPUs to BGSE for this project because JBT believed that BGSE was bidding JBT equipment on its behalf."
 (2) Per Mr. Duski, this JBT bid was unsolicited.
 (3) Per Mr. Duski's report at page 45, "While JBT did not provide a quote to BGSE specific to the Kadena project, JBT did provide a 'blanket quote' for the Jetaire HPCF-3000 PC Air unit just a few months after BGSE was awarded the project."

John Bean Technologies Corporation vs. B GSE Group, LLC, et al.
Summary of BGSE Profit Margin per Duski Supplemental Report

Attachment 4

Count	Project	BGSE Customer ^(a)	First BGSE Invoice Date	Last BGSE Invoice Date	Estimated Per Duski Supplement Updated Exhibit 4 ^(b)				Adjusted Revenue
					BGSE Revenue	BGSE Profit / Unjust Enrichment	Implied Profit Margin %	Duski Schedule	
1	Lemoore P-328	Able Heating & Air, Certified Air	5/25/2016 ^(c)	12/31/2017 ^(d)	\$			A	\$
2	Beaufort P-465	Carothers	7/6/2016 ^(e)	10/9/2018 ^(f)				B	
3	Kadena P-803	Prime Projects International	1/29/2016 ^(g)	12/19/2017 ^(h)				C	
4	Lemoore P-378	Able Heating & Air, Certified Air	3/23/2017 ⁽ⁱ⁾	4/24/2019 ^(j)				D	
5	Beaufort P-464	Haskell	2/21/2019 ^(k)	1/31/2021 ^(l)				E	
6	Eielson EIE-376	Unit Company	4/25/2018 ^(m)	3/24/2020 ⁽ⁿ⁾				F	
7	Burlington B-150	Benaka	12/21/2018 ^(o)	12/9/2019 ^(p)				G	
8	Eielson EIE-378	Watterson Construction	4/10/2019 ^(q)	1/31/2020 ^(r)				H	^{1)(y)}
9	Eielson EIE-379	Unit Company	4/27/2018 ^(s)	3/24/2020 ^(t)				I	
10	Eielson EIE-393	Haakenson	4/10/2019 ^(u)	2/6/2020 ^(v)				J	^{1)(z)}
11	Eielson EIE-394	Tesco	7/23/2019 ^(w)	2/7/2020 ^(x)				K	
Total					\$	\$			\$
					BGSE Historical Contribution Margin				
					Estimated Incremental GPU and PC Air Profit on the Projects				\$

Source(s):

- (a) Per discussion with BGSE and BGSE's accounting records.
 (b) Duski Supplemental Report, Exhibit 4, Schedules A-Q.
 (c) BGSE_00602715-16.
 (d) BGSE_00602714.
 (e) BGSE_00601966.
 (f) BGSE_00601960.
 (g) BGSE_00608192.
 (h) BGSE_00608190-91.
 (i) BGSE_00291368-00001.
 (j) BGSE_00608315.
 (k) BGSE_00608121.
 (l) BGSE_00608052.
 (m) BGSE_00602269.
 (n) BGSE_00606862-63.
 (o) BGSE_00602121.
 (p) BGSE_00602072.
 (q) BGSE_00602396.
 (r) BGSE_00602395.
 (s) BGSE_00602549.
 (t) BGSE_00607724-25.
 (u) BGSE_00602632.
 (v) BGSE_00602641.
 (w) BGSE_00602668.
 (x) BGSE_00586889.
 (y) BGSE_00602395, BGSE_00602396, BGSE_00602397, and BGSE_00602398.
 (z) BGSE_00602632, BGSE_00592715, BGSE_00602633, BGSE_00602641, and BGSE_00602397.

Notes(s):

- (1) The actual invoiced amount includes a discount and is lower than the comparable scope on the Project Estimate.

John Bean Technologies Corporation vs. B GSE Group, LLC, et al.
Calculation of BGSE Historical Profit Margins

Attachment 5

	2015 ^(a)	2016 ^(a)	2017 ^(b)	2018 ^(c)	2019 ^(c)	2020 ^(d)	Total
Contract Revenues Earned	\$						
Bad Debt							
Net Revenue	\$						
Cost of Revenues Earned							
Gross Profit	\$						
<i>Gross Profit Percentage (%)</i>							
Project-Related Expenses Recorded as General and Administrative							
Travel expense	\$						
Commissions							
Salaries - staff							
Shipping							
Contract labor							
Meals and entertainment							
Equipment rental							
Subtotal	\$						
Contribution Margin	\$						
<i>Contribution Margin Percentage (%)</i>							
Other General and Administrative Expenses							
Officers' salaries	\$						
Professional fees							
Advertising and promotion							
Payroll taxes							
Telephone expense							
Factoring							
Taxes, license and permits							
Auto expense							
Rent expense							
Postage and delivery							
Insurance							
Contract administrative fees							
Office supplies and expense							
Bank charges							
Tooling expense							
Amortization							
Dues and subscriptions							
Depreciation							
Miscellaneous							
Uniforms							
Printing & reproduction							
Website expense							
Trade shows							
Computer and internet expense							
Contributions							
Utilities							
Subtotal	\$						
Income (Loss) from Operations	\$						
Other Income (Expenses):							
Other Income	\$						
Interest Income							
Interest Expense							
Total Other Income (Expenses)	\$						
Net Income (Loss)	\$						
<i>Net Income Percentage (%)</i>							

Source(s):

(a) BGSE_00603466.

(b) BGSE_00602789.

(c) BGSE_00602811.

(d) BGSE_00608334.